

mistake, motive, and habit on the part of the President. . . . Nevertheless, whatever relevance such evidence may otherwise have . . . it simply is not essential to the core issues in this case.²⁸⁵

On Friday, March 13, 1998, Ms. Jones's attorneys filed their opposition to the President's summary judgment motion. In the motion, Ms. Jones's attorneys argued that evidence of the President's treatment of other women, and his use of state troopers to facilitate relationships with other women, rendered summary judgment inappropriate and required the case to proceed to trial.²⁸⁶

On Wednesday, April 1, 1998, Judge Wright issued an order granting the defendants' motions for summary judgment and dismissed the case.²⁸⁷ Judge Wright found that the Ms. Jones "failed to demonstrate that she has a case worthy of submitting to a jury."²⁸⁸ The order concluded: "One final matter concerns alleged suppression of pattern and practice evidence. Whatever relevance such evidence may have to prove other elements of plaintiff's case, it does not have anything to do with the issues

²⁸⁵ Jones v. Clinton, 993 F. Supp. 1217, 1222 (E.D. Ark. 1998) (emphases added).

²⁸⁶ Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant Clinton's Motion for Summary Judgment, Jones v. Clinton, No. LR-C-94-290 (Mar. 13, 1998).

²⁸⁷ Jones v. Clinton, 990 F. Supp. 657 (E.D. Ark. 1998).

²⁸⁸ Jones v. Clinton, 990 F. Supp. 657, 679 (E.D. Ark. 1998).